

Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Regulation

2024

Sixth Edition

Contributing Editor: **Josias N. Dewey**

glg Global Legal Group



CONTENTS

Preface	Josias N. Dewey, <i>Holland & Knight LLP</i>	
Glossary	The Contributing Editor shares key concepts and definitions of blockchain	
Foreword	Daniel C. Burnett, <i>Enterprise Ethereum Alliance</i>	
Industry chapter	<i>A look at crypto's horrible, no-good year, and what the future may hold</i> Ron Quaranta, <i>Wall Street Blockchain Alliance</i>	1
Expert analysis chapters	<i>Blockchain and intellectual property: A case study</i> Ieuan G. Mahony, Brian J. Colandro & Jacob Schneider, <i>Holland & Knight LLP</i>	9
	<i>Cryptocurrency and other digital asset funds for U.S. investors</i> Gregory S. Rowland & Trevor Kiviat, <i>Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP</i>	25
	<i>Layer-2 sequencing demystified: A lawyer's introduction</i> Angela Angelovska-Wilson & Tom Momberg, <i>DLx Law</i> Michael Mosier, <i>Arktouros PLLC</i>	40
	<i>Legal considerations in the minting, marketing and selling of NFTs</i> Stuart Levi, Eytan Fisch, Alex Drylewski & Dan Michael, <i>Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP</i>	60
	<i>Cryptocurrency compliance and risks: A European KYC/AML perspective</i> Fedor Poskriakov & Christophe Cavin, <i>Lenz & Staehelin</i>	81
	<i>The regulation of stablecoins in the United States</i> Douglas Landy, Leel Sinai, Stephen Hogan-Mitchell & Chanté Eliaszadeh, <i>White & Case LLP</i>	99
	<i>Stoned Cats, Ripples, and Krakens, oh my! SEC regulation of digital assets by enforcement</i> Richard B. Levin, Kevin R. Tran & Bobby Wenner, <i>Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP</i>	115
	<i>MiCAR and Morrison: Navigating opportunities and challenges for U.S. digital asset companies in the EU and in the UK</i> Matthew C. Solomon, Laura Prosperetti, Bernardo Massella Ducci Teri & Andreas Wildner, <i>Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP</i>	137
	<i>Trends in the derivatives market and how recent fintech developments are reshaping this space</i> Jonathan Gilmour & Tom Purkiss, <i>Travers Smith LLP</i>	147
	<i>Blockchain taxation in the United States</i> David L. Forst & Sean P. McElroy, <i>Fenwick & West LLP</i>	157
	<i>Blockchain-driven decentralisation, disaggregation, and distribution – industry perspectives</i> Marcus Bagnall, Nicholas Crossland, Ben Towell & Cecilia Lovell, <i>Wiggin LLP</i>	168
	<i>OFAC sanctions and digital assets: Regulation, compliance, and recent developments</i> David M. Stetson, Evan T. Abrams, Andrew C. Adams & Sophia Breggia, <i>Steptoe & Johnson LLP</i>	185
	<i>False friends and creditors: The saga of recent crypto insolvencies</i> Stephen Rutenberg, David Brill & Michael DiPietro, <i>Polsinelli</i>	199

Jurisdiction chapters

Australia	Peter Reeves, Robert O’Grady & Emily Shen, <i>Gilbert + Tobin</i>	210
Austria	Ursula Rath, Thomas Kulnigg & Dominik Tyrybon, <i>Schönherr Rechtsanwälte GmbH</i>	224
Bermuda	Steven Rees Davies, Charissa Ball & Alexandra Fox, <i>Carey Olsen</i>	232
Brazil	Luiz Felipe Maia, Flavio Augusto Picchi & André Napoli, <i>Maia Yoshiyasu Advogados</i>	245
British Virgin Islands	Chris Duncan & Katrina Lindsay, <i>Carey Olsen</i>	264
Canada	Alix d’Anglejan-Chatillon, Ramandeep K. Grewal & Éric Lévesque, <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	272
Cayman Islands	Chris Duncan & Alistair Russell, <i>Carey Olsen</i>	284
Cyprus	Akis Papakyriacou, <i>Akis Papakyriacou LLC</i>	292
France	Hubert de Vauplane, Victor Charpiat & Morgane Fournel Reicher, <i>Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP</i>	301
Gibraltar	Jay Gomez, Javi Triay & Johnluis Pitto, <i>Triay Lawyers Limited</i>	311
Hong Kong	Gaven Cheong & Esther Lee, <i>Tiang & Partners</i> Peter B. Brewin & Duncan G Fitzgerald, <i>PwC Hong Kong</i>	318
India	Nishchal Anand, Pranay Agrawala & Dhruvad Das, <i>Panda Law</i>	330
Ireland	Keith Waine, Karen Jennings & David Lawless, <i>Dillon Eustace LLP</i>	342
Israel	Uri Zichor, <i>FISCHER (FBC & Co.)</i>	353
Italy	Massimo Donna & Chiara Bianchi, <i>Paradigma – Law & Strategy</i>	363
Japan	Takeshi Nagase, Takato Fukui & Keisuke Hatano, <i>Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune</i>	371
Liechtenstein	Matthias Niedermüller & Giuseppina Epicoco, <i>Niedermüller Attorneys at Law</i>	384
Lithuania	Vladimiras Kokorevas, <i>Gofaizen & Sherle UAB</i>	395
Mexico	Carlos Valderrama & Arturo Salvador Alvarado Betancourt, <i>Legal Paradox®</i>	405
Netherlands	Ilham Ezzamouri & Robbert Santifort, <i>Eversheds Sutherland</i>	417
Norway	Ole Andenæs, Snorre Nordmo & Karoline Angell, <i>Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS</i>	438
Poland	Mihhail Šerle, <i>Gofaizen & Sherle Sp. z o.o.</i>	450
Portugal	Filipe Lowndes Marques, Vera Esteves Cardoso & Ashick Remetula, <i>Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados</i>	457
Romania	Sergiu-Traian Vasilescu, Luca Dejan & Bogdan Rotaru, <i>VD Law Group</i> Flavius Jakubowicz, <i>JASILL Accounting & Business</i>	471

Singapore	Kenneth Pereire & Lin YingXin, <i>KGP Legal LLC</i>	485
Spain	Alfonso López-Ibor Aliño & Olivia López-Ibor Jaume, <i>López-Ibor Abogados, S.L.P.</i>	495
Sweden	Anders Bergsten, Carl Johan Zimdahl & Carolina Sandell, <i>Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå AB</i>	504
Switzerland	Daniel Haeberli, Stefan Oesterhelt & Alexander Wherlock, <i>Homburger</i>	510
Taiwan	Robin Chang & Eddie Hsiung, <i>Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law</i>	525
Thailand	Jason Corbett & Don Sornumpol, <i>Silk Legal Co., Ltd.</i>	532
Turkey/Türkiye	Alper Onar & Emre Subaşı, <i>Aksan Law Firm</i>	538
United Kingdom	Charles Kerrigan, Christina Fraziero, Olivia Hamilton-Russell & Antonia Bain, <i>CMS LLP</i>	552
USA	Josias N. Dewey & Samir Patel, <i>Holland & Knight LLP</i>	567

Ireland

Keith Waine, Karen Jennings & David Lawless
Dillon Eustace LLP

Government attitude

The Irish Government has been keen to demonstrate its support of the development and adoption of new technologies, including blockchain, as a way to encourage digitalisation and foster innovation. In a paper issued in December 2019 entitled “International Financial Services Strategy 2025” (**IFS2025**), the Government stated its commitment to developing Ireland as a global leader in the financial services sector and announced measures aimed at demonstrating Ireland’s credentials as an EU centre of excellence for distributed ledger technology (**DLT**).

The Government established the Fintech Steering Group in 2021 and, under its “Action Plan for 2022”, launched under the IFS2025, it plans to implement the second phase of the Fintech Steering Group. The Steering Group will continue to assist in developing Ireland’s policy, consult with industry and key stakeholders from the sector, conduct research and contribute to EU policies. In addition, a working group will be established that will include Steering Group members as well as representatives from the financial services, information technologies and academic disciplines.

The Government is also committed to developing a programme of international activities to raise the global visibility of Ireland as a centre for fintech. Under this initiative, the Department of Finance will work with selected embassies, diplomatic and trade missions abroad, and the enterprise agencies to prepare its focused programme of international activities. Its aim is to increase awareness of Ireland’s fintech sector, grow exports for Irish fintech firms and encourage multinational firms to consider Ireland as a location for their fintech activities.

Since June 2018, the Industrial Development Authority (**IDA**), a semi-state body with a mandate to attract foreign direct investment into Ireland, has worked with the Irish Blockchain Expert Group on the “Blockchain Ireland” initiative. This forum is led by the IDA and seeks to enhance the blockchain industry in Ireland and to promote Ireland as a blockchain centre of excellence.

However, the Irish Government has so far been reticent in issuing firm guidance concerning its policy towards DLT and the treatment of virtual currencies from a legal and regulatory perspective.

In March 2018, the Department of Finance issued a discussion paper on Virtual Currencies and Blockchain Technology, with the general aim of describing the current environment, providing an overview of the global virtual currencies market and providing an overview of the potential risks and benefits of virtual currencies. On foot of this paper, an intra-departmental working group was established in 2018 in order to oversee developments in virtual currencies and blockchain technology and consider whether policy recommendations are required. No such policy recommendations have been issued to date.

The Central Bank of Ireland (**Central Bank**), as the authority responsible for the regulation of financial services in Ireland, has led the way in setting policy in this area and has issued a number of consumer warnings on the risks of buying or investing in virtual currencies and initial coin offerings (**ICOs**).

In February 2018, consumers were warned by the Central Bank about the risks of buying or investing in “virtual currencies” and cryptocurrencies,¹ with the Central Bank highlighting risks such as extreme price volatility and the absence of regulation. In 2021, the Central Bank updated the warning to state that, despite the introduction of a new anti-money laundering (**AML**) and countering the financing of terrorism (**CFT**) supervisory regime for certain virtual currency exchanges and custodian wallet providers, this does not change the fact that virtual currencies are not currently regulated, and consumers remain exposed to the risks highlighted in the 2018 warning.

Similarly, the Central Bank sought to alert consumers to the high risks associated with ICOs, such as vulnerability to fraud or illicit activities, lack of exit options, extreme price volatility, inadequate information and exposure to flaws in the technology.² It has also indicated its support of the warnings published by the European Securities and Markets Authority (**ESMA**) concerning the risks of ICOs and crypto-assets³ whereby ESMA underlined the risks that unregulated crypto-assets pose to investor protection and market integrity. ESMA identified the most significant risks as fraud, cyber-attacks, money laundering and market manipulation.

The most recent warning on cryptocurrencies was issued by the Central Bank in March 2022 as part of a European-wide campaign by the European Supervisory Authorities.⁴

Crypto-assets (including cryptocurrencies) are not considered money or equivalent to fiat currency in Ireland and there are currently no cryptocurrencies that are backed by either the Irish Government or the Central Bank.

As discussed below, Ireland has transposed the EU’s Fifth Money Laundering Directive (Directive 2018/843/EU) (**MLD5**) into Irish law, which extends AML/CFT requirements to cover certain virtual currency exchanges and custodian wallet providers.

Cryptocurrency regulation

Although the Central Bank has issued warnings in relation to investment in crypto-assets, there is currently no prohibition or ban on cryptocurrencies in Ireland. However, Ireland has not implemented a bespoke financial regulatory regime for cryptocurrencies and there are currently no plans to do so at a local level.

The question of whether and how crypto-assets are regulated under Irish law turns primarily on whether activities carried on in relation to those crypto-assets are regulated under existing legislation in Ireland, which implements certain EU Single Market Directives, such as the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive 2014/65/EU (**MiFID**), the Electronic Money Directive 2009/110/EU (**E-Money Directive**) and the Payment Services Directive 2015/2366/EU (**PSD2**), and by various EU regulations, such as the Prospectus Regulation 2017/1129/EU, the Market Abuse Regulation 506/2014/EU and the Central Securities Depositories Regulation 909/2014/EU, which have direct effect in Ireland.

The Central Bank has indicated its hesitancy towards issuing new domestic legislation to regulate crypto-assets and cryptocurrencies. In 2018, Gerry Cross, Director of Financial Regulation – Policy and Risk at the Central Bank, indicated that:

“... it can be easy, when faced with a new and challenging issue or activity, for a regulator to say that A or B is very risky, or that X or Y can have harmful effects and to start in straightaway to consider how to restrict them, regulate them or even

ban them. ... However it is important, in whatever we are looking at, that we take a considered approach; that we think about the potential benefits, including longer term benefits, as well as risks. We need to be clear and precise about what it is we are trying to achieve. We need to reflect on approaches to accomplishing those objectives which retain as much as possible of the potential benefits while addressing the harms, approaches that are in other words proportionate. We also need to think about the potential unforeseen consequence of regulation, including the desirability of giving a “regulatory imprimatur” to the activity in question.”⁵

As a result, the Central Bank has maintained a “wait and see” approach with regard to implementing domestic regulation, taking guidance from international regulators and most notably European Supervisory Authorities.

On 24 September 2020, the European Commission adopted the Digital Finance Package. This package included a proposal for a Regulation on Markets in Crypto-assets (**MiCA**), in addition to a proposal for a Regulation on digital operational resilience for the financial sector, a proposal on a pilot regime for market infrastructures based on DLT, and a proposal to clarify or amend certain related financial services rules.

MiCA has since been adopted and was published in the Official Journal of the EU as of June 2023.⁵ MiCA will apply in its entirety from 30 December 2024, bringing with it the first harmonised rules for crypto-assets in the EU.

MiCA establishes uniform rules for crypto-asset service providers and issuers at EU level, provide measures ensuring consumer and investor protection, and includes safeguards to address potential risks to financial stability.

In a letter to the Minister for Finance from February 2022, Gabriel Makhoul, the Governor of the Central Bank, stated that “*the significant interest amongst Virtual Asset Service Providers (VASPs) in seeking registration with the Central Bank [under the AML/CFT regime (see below)] suggests that interest in authorisation as Crypto Asset Service Providers (CASPs) under MiCA will be high*”.⁶

The European Banking Authority (EBA) has been tasked with developing a number of technical standards and guidelines giving further effect to MiCA. It opened the first consultations in July 2023 on its proposed technical standards for the application for authorisation to offer to the public and to seek admission to trading of asset-referenced tokens (ARTs) (a type of “stablecoin”) and on complaint handling.⁷ In addition, ESMA also opened consultations in July 2023 on seven sets of draft technical standards under MiCA.⁸ On 9 August 2023, the Department of Finance opened its consultation on the exercise of national discretions under MiCA.⁹ This consultation was due to close on 5 September 2023.

Until MiCA enters into force, cryptocurrency will continue to be unregulated, save where it is subject to regulation under existing financial services regulatory regimes or for AML/CFT purposes.

“Classic” cryptocurrencies (such as Bitcoin, Litecoin and Ether) that are not centrally issued and give no rights or entitlements to holders currently appear to fall outside of the scope of the existing regulatory regime in Ireland. This is on the basis that a pure, decentralised cryptocurrency is unlikely to be a transferable security and the Central Bank has emphasised that such cryptocurrencies are “unregulated”.¹⁰

The position is different for that category of cryptocurrencies known as stablecoins – particularly where these coins are pegged to, and are directly exchangeable on demand for, fiat currencies. The Central Bank’s 2020 letter indicates that, in its view, “*the risks*

of ‘so called stablecoins’ for financial stability, monetary policy, consumer and investor protection, legal certainty and compliance with AML/CFT requirements are a key concern. Among the Central Bank of Ireland’s key concerns is that the issuing of currency should firmly remain under the remit of the relevant public authorities (i.e. central bank). Where the reach or other features of ‘so called stablecoin’ risk it being perceived as a currency, or operating as a quasi-currency, then it should be prohibited”.

In the context of true utility tokens (i.e. tokens that can be redeemed for access to a specific product or service), the Central Bank indicated in its 2020 letter that *“it is not readily apparent to us that most utility tokens are, or should be, treated as financial products or that they should be regulated as such. However, we recognise that a utility token may, in substance be, or may become, a financial instrument (transferable security or e-money) and, in that case, it should be clear that it should fall within the regulatory perimeter. Cases where crypto assets start as, or claim to be, one thing but morph into the provision of financial services directly or indirectly should be closely monitored”.* In the absence of clear Irish or EU legislative guidance, a case-by-case basis analysis is required in order to determine whether a utility token falls outside of the parameters of existing financial services regulation.

In relation to security tokens (which may provide rights such as ownership, repayment of a specific sum of money, or entitlement to a share in future profits), the Central Bank expressed the view in its 2020 letter that it would be beneficial to have a harmonised taxonomy at EU level in relation to crypto-assets, including a harmonised definition of a security token as a transferable security. Hence, where these security tokens are closer to conventional debt instruments and equity instruments, the Central Bank has called for them to be *“consistently regulated, while allowing genuine utility tokens to remain outside the regulatory perimeter”*.¹¹

Key to any regulatory analysis of security tokens are the concepts of “financial instruments” and “transferable securities” under MiFID. A transferable security for the purposes of MiFID includes shares, bonds, derivatives and other instruments that give their holders similar rights or entitlements. The definition is not exhaustive and includes any security negotiable on the capital market with the exception of instruments of payment. It is clear that a security token may be deemed to be a transferable security for the purposes of MiFID, which would mean that any entity providing an investment service or carrying on an investment activity with respect to the relevant crypto-asset would need to be authorised as an investment firm (and would need to comply with a wide range of detailed prudential and conduct of business requirements), unless it benefits from an exemption.

The DLT Pilot Regime Regulation¹² was published in the Official Journal of the EU on 2 June 2022 with the majority of its provisions applying from 23 March 2023. The pilot regime allows for the trading of certain DLT financial instruments via DLT trading venues and amends the definition of a “financial instrument” in MiFID to clarify beyond any legal doubt that such instruments can be issued via DLT.

Finally, money transmission laws and AML legislation may also apply to activities carried out in relation to cryptocurrencies (see below).

Sales regulation

Where a crypto-asset is deemed to involve an offer of transferable securities to the public, the requirements under the Prospectus Regulation (EU) 2017/1129/EU, as implemented into Irish law by the European Union (Prospectus) Regulations 2019 (together, the **Prospectus Regulations**), may apply.

The Prospectus Regulations impose requirements for an approved prospectus to have been made available to the public before: (a) transferable securities are offered to the public in Ireland; or (b) a request is made for transferable securities to be admitted to a regulated market situated or operating in the EU. Unless an exemption applies (public offers made to certain qualified investors are, for example, exempt), a detailed prospectus containing prescribed content must be drawn up, approved by the Central Bank (or the appropriate EEA Member State financial regulator where Ireland is not the home state of the issuer of the transferable securities) and published before the relevant offer or request is made.

These requirements only apply to offers or requests relating to transferable securities, being anything that falls within the definition of transferable securities in MiFID (see above). In light of the Central Bank's 2020 letter, the Prospectus Regulations would appear to be of primary concern for issuers of security tokens in Ireland.

In addition to the Prospectus Regulations, there are various e-commerce and consumer protection requirements in force in Ireland that are potentially applicable to sales of cryptocurrencies or crypto-assets or the offering of services related to cryptocurrencies or crypto-assets (such as exchange or wallet services) in or from Ireland.

Taxation

There are no specific rules for dealings in crypto-assets or cryptocurrencies; therefore, one has to have regard to the basic principles of Irish tax law. This means that determining the tax treatment of a cryptocurrency transaction requires an assessment of the activities and parties involved, Irish Revenue guidance, case law and relevant legislation. The Irish Revenue confirmed this in a publication issued in May 2018 (which was most recently updated in April 2022).

Whether a supplier of services or goods receives payment of cryptocurrency *in lieu* of cash will not change how that supply is taxed in the hands of the supplier. There is no change to when revenue is recognised or how taxable profits are calculated. As cryptocurrencies are not a functional currency for tax purposes, a company's accounts cannot be prepared in cryptocurrencies for tax purposes. The Irish Revenue notes that while cryptocurrencies are referred to as a currency by many, they are best referred to as assets.

Whether dealing in cryptocurrencies will be treated as a trade of dealing or a capital transaction for taxation purposes will depend on the nature and level of activity of the dealer. Occasional investment in and disposals of cryptocurrencies (the use of cryptocurrencies to purchase goods is seen as a disposal) would likely be treated as a capital receipt, currently taxed at 33%. Where there is significant and regular dealing, this could be considered to be trading, which for a company would be taxed at 12.5%, or the marginal higher rates for individuals. The Irish Revenue notes that a trade in cryptocurrencies would be similar in nature to a trade in shares, securities, or other assets but, while individuals and companies entering into transactions relating to cryptocurrencies may describe the transaction as a "trade", this is not sufficient for it to be regarded as a financial trade for tax purposes. The actual tax position will depend on an analysis of the specifics of each transaction, and would need a case-by-case consideration, as is normal in determining whether a trading activity is being undertaken.

It is acknowledged by the Irish Revenue that the value of cryptocurrencies may vary between exchanges and that there may not always be a single exchange rate for cryptocurrencies. Therefore, a reasonable effort should be made to use an appropriate valuation for the transaction in question. In addition, where there is an underlying tax event involving the use of a cryptocurrency, there is a requirement in tax legislation for a record to be kept of the transaction including any record in respect of the cryptocurrency.

VAT is due in the normal way from suppliers of goods and services sold in exchange for cryptocurrencies. VAT should not arise on the transfer of cryptocurrencies, and income received from cryptocurrency mining activities is considered generally outside the scope of VAT by the Irish Revenue on the basis that the activity does not constitute an economic activity for VAT purposes. Irish stamp duty should not arise, although as stamp duty is a tax on documents, the manner in which the transfer takes place would be worth monitoring to ensure that a stampable document has not been inadvertently created.

The territoriality aspect of cryptocurrencies is still an evolving area. Understanding the source or *situs* of cryptocurrencies is of significance in determining whether a person is subject to Irish tax (in particular non-Irish residents) in cross-border dealings. Generally speaking, an individual who is resident or ordinarily resident in Ireland, but not domiciled in Ireland, is only taxable on foreign income or gains that are remitted into Ireland. The remittance basis applies to assets that are situated outside Ireland, and not to assets that are not situated in Ireland. The Irish Revenue notes the importance of this distinction because, where a cryptocurrency exists “on the cloud”, it will not actually be situated anywhere and, therefore, cannot be viewed as situated outside Ireland. Where the *situs* of the cryptocurrency is in dispute, the onus is on the taxpayer to prove where the gain accrued. Where the location of the cryptocurrency giving rise to a taxable gain cannot be confirmed by the taxpayer, that gain is chargeable to tax in Ireland based on residency rules.

Money transmission laws

Money transmission services in Ireland may be subject to the local regulatory regime governing money transmission, but will more likely be subject to the European Communities (Payment Services) Regulations 2018 (the **Payment Services Regulations**) (which implement PSD2 into Irish law). The Payment Services Regulations focus on electronic means of payment rather than cash-only transactions or paper cheque-based transfers. These Regulations may be relevant where a crypto-asset could potentially be considered a payment instrument or if the issuer is operating a payment account. Core concepts of the Payment Services Regulations include “electronic cash” and the transfer of “funds”. As neither of these concepts appear relevant in the case of classic cryptocurrencies, or products or ancillary services related thereto, they would appear to fall outside the scope of the Payment Services Regulations.

In the case of crypto-assets other than classic cryptocurrencies or ancillary services, the Payment Services Regulations may be relevant. For example, the operator of a cryptocurrency platform that settles payments of fiat currency between the buyers and sellers of cryptocurrency may be engaged in regulated payment services.

In addition, the European Communities (Electronic Money) Regulations 2011, as amended (the **Irish E-Money Regulations**), which implement the E-Money Directive into Irish law, may be of relevance to certain types of crypto-assets. The Irish E-Money Regulations regulate the issuers of e-money. “Electronic money” is defined as “*electronically (including magnetically) stored monetary value as represented by a claim on the electronic money issuer*”. Classic cryptocurrencies would not appear to involve “*a claim on the electronic money issuer*”. However, the EBA has indicated that, in certain circumstances, a crypto-asset could qualify as “electronic money”,¹³ namely where the token is issued on the receipt of fiat currency and is pegged to, and directly exchangeable on demand for, such fiat currency (such as a stablecoin). We would expect the Central Bank to follow this view in Ireland.

Where a particular cryptocurrency qualifies as “electronic money”, then an Irish issuer will be required to be authorised under the Irish E-Money Regulations. Such an entity will therefore need to comply with ongoing financial regulatory requirements (some of which are likely to be problematical for certain crypto-assets) and would be subject to AML requirements.

AML requirements

MLD5 requires EU Member States to impose registration and AML requirements on fiat-to-cryptocurrency exchange platforms, as well as custodian wallet providers.

On 23 April 2021, the Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) (Amendment) Act 2021 came into force in Ireland (**Irish Act**). The Irish Act implements MLD5 in Ireland and brings VASPs within the scope of existing AML legislation. VASPs are defined as persons or firms carrying out any of the following activities by way of business on behalf of another:

1. exchange between virtual assets and fiat currencies;
2. exchange between one or more forms of virtual assets;
3. transfer of virtual assets, that is to say, conducting a transaction on behalf of another person that moves a virtual asset from one virtual asset address or account to another;
4. custodian wallet provider, that is to say, providing services to safeguard private cryptographic keys on behalf of customers, to hold, store and transfer virtual currencies; and
5. participation in, and provision of, financial services related to an issuer’s offer or sale of a virtual asset or both.

A “virtual asset” is defined as “*a digital representation of value that can be digitally traded or transferred and can be used for payment or investment purposes but does not include digital representations of fiat currencies, securities or other financial assets*”.

From 23 April 2021, VASPs established in Ireland are required to register with the Central Bank for AML/CFT purposes. The Central Bank may refuse a registration in circumstances where it is not satisfied with the VASP’s AML/CFT policies and procedures, and/or the fitness and probity of the senior management and/or beneficial owners of the VASP. The Central Bank has the power to revoke registrations and to impose any conditions that it considers necessary for the proper and orderly regulation of the business.

VASPs are subject to the same AML/CFT requirements as other financial service providers, including the obligation to conduct an AML/CFT business risk assessment, carry out customer due diligence on their customers, carry out ongoing monitoring of customers and their transactions, and file suspicious transaction reports with the relevant authorities. Once registered, the VASP is required to include a regulatory disclosure statement in the prescribed form in all advertisements for its services, stating that it is regulated by the Central Bank for AML/CFT purposes only.

In July 2022, the Central Bank published an AML Bulletin¹⁴ focusing on the application process for registration as a VASP. Shortly after, it was announced that the Central Bank had registered its first VASP. The AML Bulletin outlines the Central Bank’s observations following its assessment of applications for VASP registrations and identifies a number of recurring weaknesses where the Central Bank was not satisfied with the level of information and documentation provided by applicant firms.

Also in the area of AML, the European Commission, in July 2021, published its proposal for a Regulation on information accompanying transfers of funds and certain crypto-assets. On 9 June 2023, the Regulation¹⁵ was published in the Official Journal of the EU, bringing

the traceability requirements for transfers of fiat funds to transfers of crypto-assets (the so-called “**Travel Rule**”). The Travel Rule will apply from 30 December 2024, aligning with the application of MiCA.

Promotion and testing

In April 2018, the Central Bank launched its Innovation Hub, designed to facilitate open and active engagement with the fintech sector. The Central Bank has stated that:

“This was done with three aims in mind: firstly, to provide us with a way to engage more effectively with persons and entities engaged in fintech innovation, so that we as supervisors could gain an enhanced understanding of the developments underway and likely to emerge. Secondly to enhance our discussions on regulatory aspects with innovators, for many of whom the world of financial regulation is an unaccustomed and potentially intimidating one. And thirdly, to help ensure that new financial firms emerging onto the market are well placed to comply with the requirements of financial regulation which is key to the continuing achievement of the consumer protection and financial stability outcomes that are at the heart of our mandate.”

However, to date, Ireland has not established a regulatory sandbox to allow firms to test innovative financial services propositions in the market with real consumers.

The DLT Pilot Regime Regulation allows for the controlled trading of DLT financial instruments and provides for derogations from existing rules that are not consistent with DLT technology. The pilot regime will allow companies to learn more about how existing rules fare in practice.

Ownership and licensing requirements

There are no specific prohibitions in Irish law on the ownership or control of crypto-assets. However, the nature and form of property rights that may exist in relation to crypto-assets under Irish law is currently untested.

As to licensing requirements, whether or not a person requires authorisation to perform their activities in relation to crypto-assets in Ireland will depend on a case-by-case analysis of the activities to be performed and the nature of the crypto-asset itself. It will also involve a case-by-case analysis of the various securities laws in Ireland arising under both EU and domestic legislation as detailed above under the headings “Cryptocurrency regulation”, “Sales regulation” and “Money transmission laws and anti-money laundering requirements”. As in many jurisdictions, the regulatory environment in Ireland in relation to cryptocurrencies and their interaction with securities law is not yet settled.

Certain products, such as UCITS funds, which are intended to be marketed to retail investors in the EU, are subject to specific restrictions on the type and diversity of assets they can hold. The Central Bank confirmed in April 2021 that it “*is highly unlikely to approve a UCITS proposing any exposure (either direct or indirect) to crypto assets*”.¹⁶ However, the Commission is planning a wide-ranging review¹⁷ of UCITS rules governing eligible investments as set out under the Eligible Assets Directive.¹⁸ As part of that review, ESMA has been asked to consider whether exposure to crypto-assets could lead to divergent interpretations and/or risks for retail investors. ESMA is requested to deliver its technical advice by 31 October 2024.

The ability for Irish regulated funds, other than UCITS, to invest in crypto-assets has recently been clarified by the Central Bank. In April 2023, the Central Bank published its

updated Q&A on digital assets,¹⁹ which confirmed that, in principle, indirect investment in digital assets is permitted by Irish alternative investment funds that are marketed to investors (other than retail investors) subject to applicable conditions being met.

Finally, certain crypto-assets (such as stablecoins) could potentially be categorised as an alternative investment fund in certain limited circumstances (such as where the value is pegged to the performance of a pool of underlying assets), giving rise to licensing requirements relating to the issue, operation and marketing of the fund and its service providers.

Mining

There are no specific restrictions on the mining of Bitcoin or other cryptocurrencies in Ireland. However, the Central Bank has been keen to highlight the potential negative environmental impacts of virtual currency mining.²⁰ Concern regarding the environmental impact of virtual currency mining is especially relevant due to the recent focus of EU institutions on sustainable finance and the publication of the European Commission's Sustainable Finance Action Plan.

Border restrictions and declaration

There are no specific border restrictions or declarations that must be made on the ownership of cryptocurrencies in Ireland. Individuals carrying cash in excess of EUR 10,000 must declare this to the Revenue Commissioners on entering Ireland from a country outside the EU. However, as cryptocurrencies are not regarded as cash in Ireland, this requirement does not apply to cryptocurrencies.

Reporting requirements

Currently, there are no specific reporting requirements in place for crypto-assets in Ireland. However, any transactions should be monitored to ensure that they are compliant with AML and CFT procedures, particularly in light of the implementation of MLD5 in Ireland (see above).

Estate planning and testamentary succession

There is no explicit legislation in Ireland addressing the treatment of crypto-assets in the context of estate planning and testamentary succession. In principle, it is expected that any crypto-assets or crypto-assets accounts would be treated as personal property and would fall into the estate of the deceased, which can be administered by the executor (in the case of a will) or an administrator (in the case of intestacy).

* * *

Endnotes

1. <https://www.centralbank.ie/consumer-hub/consumer-notice/consumer-warning-on-virtual-currencies> (updated April 2021).
2. <https://centralbank.ie/consumer-hub/consumer-notice/alert-on-initial-coin-offerings>
3. ESMA, Advice on Initial Coin Offerings and Crypto-Assets, 2019.
4. <https://www.centralbank.ie/news-media/press-releases/central-bank-warning-on-investing-in-crypto-assets-22-march-2022#:~:text=The%20Central%20Bank%20has%20today,be%20suitable%20for%20retail%20customers>

5. Regulation (EU) 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 May 2023 on markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) 1093/2010 and (EU) 1095/2010 and Directives 2013/36/EU and (EU) 2019/1937.
6. “Tomorrow’s yesterday: financial regulation and technological change” – speech given by Gerry Cross, Director of Financial Regulation – Policy and Risk, Central Bank of Ireland, at Joint Session: Banknotes/Identity High Meeting 2018.
7. EBA Consultation Paper of 12 July 2023 (EBA/CP/2023/15) entitled “Draft Regulatory Technical Standards on information for application for authorisation to offer to the public and to seek admission to trading of asset-referenced tokens and Draft Implementing Technical Standards on standard forms, templates and procedures for the information to be included in the application, under Article 18(6) and (7) of Regulation (EU) 2023/1114”.
8. ESMA Consultation Paper of 12 July 2023 entitled “Technical Standards specifying certain requirements of the Markets in Crypto Assets Regulation (MiCA)”.
9. Department of Finance Public Consultation entitled “Markets in Crypto Assets Regulation ((EU) 2023/1114).
10. <https://www.centralbank.ie/consumer-hub/consumer-notice/consumer-warning-on-virtual-currencies> (updated April 2021).
11. Speech at Digital Finance in Europe by Gerry Cross, Director of Financial Regulation – Policy and Risk, Central Bank of Ireland on 14 May 2020.
12. Regulation (EU) 2022/858 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2022 on a pilot regime for market infrastructures based on distributed ledger technology, and amending Regulations (EU) 600/2014 and (EU) 909/2014 and Directive 2014/65/EU.
13. See Box 3 on page 13 of the EBA’s Report of 9 January 2019 entitled “Report with Advice for the European Commission”.
14. Central Bank Anti-Money Laundering Bulletin, Issue 8, July 2022.
15. Regulation (EU) 2023/1113 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 May 2023 on information accompanying transfers of funds and certain crypto-assets and amending Directive (EU) 2015/849.
16. Central Bank of Ireland’s UCITS Q&A, 32nd edition, 29 July 2021.
17. Letter of 16 June 2023 from the European Commission to Ms Verena Ross, the Chair of ESMA, entitled “Formal request to ESMA for technical advice on the review of Commission Directive 2007/16/EC on UCITS eligible assets”.
18. Commission Directive 2007/16/EC on UCITS eligible assets.
19. Central Bank of Ireland’s AIFMD Q&A, 47th edition, 4 April 2023.
20. Speech at Joint Session: Banknotes/Identity High Meeting by Gerry Cross, Director of Financial Regulation – Policy and Risk, Central Bank of Ireland on 20 March 2018.

**Keith Waine****Tel: +353 1 673 1822 / Email: keith.waine@dilloneustace.ie**

Keith is Head of the firm's Financial Regulation team and provides regulatory advice to international banks, investment firms, payments firms, non-bank lenders, and other financial services providers.

Keith advises on a range of regulatory matters, including authorisation processes, regulatory perimeter issues, MiFID, individual accountability and consumer protection. He has particular expertise in anti-money laundering (AML) compliance, having previously been Head of Legal and Compliance with responsibility for AML at a full-service Irish bank.

Many of Keith's clients operate in the investments, payments and crypto-assets sectors and he is currently advising a number of firms on applications for authorisation in Ireland.

Prior to joining Dillon Eustace LLP, Keith spent 10 years working in industry in senior executive roles, including as Co-Founder of an alternative mortgage lender.

**Karen Jennings****Tel: +353 1 673 1810 / Email: karen.jennings@dilloneustace.ie**

Karen is a Senior Associate in the Financial Regulation team. Karen advises clients operating across a wide spectrum of financial services including asset and fund management, banking and payments, credit servicing and insurance. Karen provides advice on Irish authorisation and licensing issues and on regulatory and compliance matters affecting financial firms such as EMIR, AML/CFT requirements, confidential information and data protection requirements, outsourcing, business continuity and disaster recovery. Karen also advises clients on regulatory capital and corporate governance requirements.

**David Lawless****Tel: +353 1 673 1765 / Email: david.lawless@dilloneustace.ie**

David advises on all taxation aspects of financial services – including structured finance transactions, investment management, capital markets, real estate, private equity, banking, treasury and reinsurance. He established the Dillon Eustace LLP tax practice in 2004 after joining the firm from PwC, where he was a financial services tax partner since 1996. David has written and spoken extensively on tax topics and has participated in public/private tax committees in Ireland focused on making Ireland an attractive tax location. He is a member of the international and VAT tax committees of Irish Funds and the tax committees of the Alternative Investment Management Association, the Irish Debt Securities Association and the Law Society of Ireland.

Dillon Eustace LLP

33 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2, D02 XK09, Ireland

Tel: +353 1 667 0022 / URL: www.dilloneustace.com

Global Legal Insights – Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Regulation provides in-depth analysis of blockchain and cryptocurrency laws and regulations across 33 jurisdictions, discussing government attitudes and definitions, cryptocurrency regulation, sales regulation, taxation, money transmission laws and anti-money laundering requirements, promotion and testing, ownership and licensing requirements, and mining.

Also in this year's edition are 13 Expert Analysis chapters, including in-depth guidance and analysis on cryptocurrency compliance, stablecoin regulation, digital asset sanctions, KYC/AML perspectives, cryptocurrency insolvencies, and taxation. Also covered in this year's edition are blockchain decentralisation, intellectual property, and NFTs, making this the definitive legal guide to the global blockchain and cryptocurrency industry in 2024.